

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

RELIABILITY AND PROFIT EVALUATION OF COMPRESSOR SYSTEM DESCRIBING FAILURES AND DEAL WITH FAILED UNIT ON PRIORITY

Dr. Upasana Sharma * , Jaswinder Kaur

* Associate Professor , Department of Statistics, Punjabi University Patiala, India Lecturer, Department of Community Medicine,Gian Sagar Medical College,India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.48324

ABSTRACT

The paper presents reliability and profit evaluation of compressor standby system comprising of two units.Initially one unit is operative and the other is in standby state. On failure of any one of the compressor unit, the standby unit becomes operative to keep the system in operating state. If both the compressor units get failed then system goes to down state. Here compressor unit can fail due to three types of failure which are serviceable, repairable and replaceable type. Out of two compressor units, the unit which fail earlier will get facility of service, repair or replacement. System is analyzed and expressions for various reliability measures such as MTSF, Availability, Busy periods for varioustypes of failures and profit etc have been computed numerically by using semi-Markov process and regenerative point technique Graphs for profit are plotted for making the present study more useful.

KEYWORDS: Compressor unit, Standby system ,Regenerative point technique , semi-Markov process .

INTRODUCTION

In milk plants for functioning of refrigeration system compressor standby system act as a vital organ. Upon the failure of this system , it has been observed that working of refrigeration system get effected seriously. In field of reliability standby systems have been analyzed by large number of researchers such as [1]-[7].Compressor standby system is still untouched in the field of reliability. The present paper is our genuine effort to study such system and to fill this research gap .Paper present reliability and profit evaluation of compressor standby system comprising of two units.Initially one unit is operative and the other is standby state. On failure of any one of the compressor unit, the standby unit becomes operative to keep the system in operating state. If both the compressor units get failed then system goes to down state. Here compressor unit can fail due to three types of failure which are serviceable, repairable and replaceable type. Out of two compressor units, the unit which fail earlier will get facility of service, repair or replacement. Upon failure of second compressor unit if the first compressor unit is still in service, repair or replacement then this unit will be kept in waiting state for service, repair or replacement.System is analyzed and expressions for various reliability measures such as MTSF, Availability, Busy periods for service,Busy period for repair ,Busy period for replacement and profit etc have been computed numerically by using semi-Markov process and regenerative point technique Graphs for profit are plotted for making the present study more useful. For profit purpose the unit real failure as well as repair time data from a milk plant have been collected.

NOTATIONS

O _I	First Compressor is in Operative State
S _{II}	Second Compressor is in Standby state
$F_{\rm sI}$, $F_{\rm sII}$	Failure category of Serviceable type for First, and Second compressor
F_{rI}, F_{rII}	Failure category of Repairable type for First and Second compressor
F_{repI} , F_{repII}	Failure category of Replaceable type for First and Second compressor
$F_{\text{wrII}}, F_{\text{wsII}}, F_{\text{wrepII}}$	Second compressor is waiting for Repair, Service and Replacement respectively
$F_{usI}, F_{urI}, F_{urepI}$	First compressor is under Service, Repair and Replacement respectively

http: // www.ijesrt.com **©** *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*

[Sharma**,* **5(3): March, 2016] ISSN: 2277-9655**

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

MODEL DESCRIPTION AND ASSUMPTIONS

1) State 0 is the initial operative state and transition from this state to states1,2, and 3 depends on type of failure.

2) All failure times are exponentially distributed.

3) After each service/ repair/replacement compressor unit works as good as new.

4) Priority given to failed unit for service , repair and replacement.

Tuble T. Thosibic shirts with shings				
State	Status	State	Status	
No.		No.		
- 0	O_I , S_{II}	8	F_{url} , F_{wrl}	
	F_{sI} , O_{II}	9	F_{url} , F_{wrepII}	
$\overline{2}$	F_{rI} , O_{II}	10	F_{urepI} , F_{wsII}	
$\overline{3}$	F_{repI} , O_{II}	11	F_{urepI} , F_{wrII}	
$\overline{4}$	F_{usI} , F_{wsII}	12	F_{urepI} , F_{wrepII}	
$\overline{5}$	F_{usI} , F_{wrII}	13	O_I , F_{sII}	
6	F_{usI} , F_{wrepII}	14	O_I , F_{rII}	
	F_{url} , F_{wsII}	15	O_I , F_{repII}	

Table 1: Possible states with status

TRANSITION PROBABILITIES AND MEAN SOJOURN TIMES

The possible state transition are shown in Table. 1.The epochs of entry into states 0,1,2,3,13,14 and 15 are regenerative states. States $4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11$ and 12 are down states. The non zero elements p_{ij} are given below:

$$
p_{01} = \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\lambda^*}, p_{02} = \frac{\lambda_{12}}{\lambda^*}, p_{03} = \frac{\lambda_{13}}{\lambda^*} \text{ where } \lambda^* = \lambda_{11} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13}, p_{10} = g_{11}^*(\lambda), p_{20} = g_{12}^*(\lambda), p_{30} = g_{13}^*(\lambda) \text{ where}
$$
\n
$$
p_{27}, p_{2,13}^7 = \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{12}^*(\lambda)); p_{28}, p_{2,14}^8 = \frac{\lambda_{22}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{12}^*(\lambda)); p_{29}, p_{2,15}^9 = \frac{\lambda_{23}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{12}^*(\lambda)); p_{14}, p_{1,13}^4 = \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{11}^*(\lambda))
$$
\n
$$
p_{15}, p_{1,14}^5 = \frac{\lambda_{22}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{11}^*(\lambda)); p_{16}, p_{1,15}^6 = \frac{\lambda_{23}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{11}^*(\lambda)); p_{3,10}, p_{3,13}^{10} = \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{13}^*(\lambda)); p_{3,11}, p_{3,14}^{11} = \frac{\lambda_{22}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{13}^*(\lambda))
$$
\n
$$
p_{3,12}, p_{3,15}^{12} = \frac{\lambda_{23}}{\lambda} (1 - g_{13}^*(\lambda)), p_{10} + p_{14} + p_{15} + p_{16} = 1, p_{10} + p_{1,13}^4 + p_{1,14}^6 + p_{1,15}^6 = 1, p_{20} + p_{27} + p_{28} + p_{29} = 1
$$
\n
$$
p_{20} + p_{2,13}^7 + p_{2,14}^8 + p_{2,15}^9 = 1, p_{30} + p_{3,10} + p_{3,11} + p_{3,12} = 1, p_{30} + p_{3,13}^9 + p_{3,14}^1 + p_{3,15}^1 = 1, \lambda = \lambda_{21} + \lambda_{2
$$

http: // www.ijesrt.com **©** *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

The mean sojourn time (μ_i) in the regenerative state 'i' is defined as time of stay in that state before transition to any other state:

$$
\mu_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13}}, \mu_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} + \lambda_{23}}, \mu_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} + \lambda_{23}}, \mu_3 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} + \lambda_{23}}
$$

\n
$$
\mu_4 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{21}(t) dt, \mu_5 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{22}(t) dt, \mu_6 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{23}(t) dt, \mu_7 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{21}(t) dt, \mu_8 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{22}(t) dt, \mu_9 = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{23}(t) dt
$$

\n
$$
\mu_{10} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{21}(t) dt, \mu_{11} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{22}(t) dt, \mu_{12} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{23}(t) dt, \mu_{13} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{21}(t) dt, \mu_{14} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{22}(t) dt, \mu_{15} = \int_0^\infty \overline{G}_{23}(t) dt
$$

The unconditional mean time taken by the system to transit for any regenerative state 'j' when it (time) is counted from the epoch of entrance into state 'i' is mathematically state as:

$$
mij = \int_{0}^{\infty} t dQ_{ij}(t) = -q_{ij}^{\prime\,*}(0)
$$

\n
$$
m_{01} + m_{02} + m_{03} = \frac{1}{(\lambda^*)} = \mu_0, m_{10} + m_{14} + m_{15} + m_{16} = \mu_1(1 - g_{11}^*(\lambda), m_{20} + m_{27} + m_{28} + m_{29} = \mu_2(1 - g_{12}^*(\lambda))
$$

\n
$$
m_{30} + m_{3,10} + m_{3,11} + m_{3,12} = \mu_3(1 - g_{13}^*(\lambda))
$$

MEAN TIME TO SYSTEM FAILURE

To determine the mean time to system failure (MTSF) of the system, we regard the failed states of the system as absorbing states. Now mean time to system failure (MTSF) when unit started at the beginning of state 0 is

MTSF = T₀ =
$$
\lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1-\emptyset_0 * s}{s} = \frac{N}{D}
$$

\nWhere N = -\mu_0 + m₀₁p₁₀ + m₀p₀₁ + p₂₀m₀₂ + p₀₂m₂₀ + p₃₀m₀₃ + p₀₃m₃₀ - \mu₁p₀₁(1 - g₁₁^{*}(λ)) - \mu₂p₀₂(1 - g₁₂^{*}(λ)) - \mu₃p₀₃(1 - g₁₃^{*}(λ))

AVAILABILITY ANALYSIS

Let $A_i(t)$ be the probability that the system is in upstate at instant t given that the system entered regenerative state i at t=0. In steady state availability of the system is given by

$$
A_0 = \lim_{s \to 0} (sA_0^*(s)) = N_1 / D_1
$$

where

$$
N_{1} = \mu_{0} + \mu_{1}p_{01} + \mu_{2}p_{02} + \mu_{3}p_{03} + \mu_{13}p_{01}p_{1,13}^{4} + \mu_{14}p_{01}p_{1,14}^{5} + \mu_{15}p_{01}p_{1,15}^{6} + \mu_{13}p_{02}p_{2,13}^{7} + \mu_{14}p_{02}p_{2,14}^{8}
$$

+ $\mu_{15}p_{02}p_{2,15}^{9} + \mu_{13}p_{03}p_{3,13}^{10} + \mu_{14}p_{03}p_{3,14}^{11} + \mu_{15}p_{03}p_{3,15}^{12}$

$$
D_{1} = \mu_{0} + p_{01}\mu_{1}(1 - g_{11}^{*}(\lambda)) + p_{02}(\mu_{2}(1 - g_{12}^{*}(\lambda)) + (p_{03}\mu_{3}(1 - g_{13}^{*}(\lambda)) + m_{13,0}(p_{01}p_{1,13}^{4} + p_{02}p_{2,13}^{7}) + p_{03}p_{3,13}^{12}) + m_{14,0}(p_{01}p_{1,14}^{5} + p_{02}p_{2,14}^{5} + p_{03}p_{3,14}^{11}) + m_{15,0}(p_{01}p_{1,15}^{6} + p_{02}p_{2,15}^{9} + p_{03}p_{3,15}^{12})
$$

Proceeding in the similar fashion as above following measures in steady state have also been obtained

[Sharma**,* **5(3): March, 2016] ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785**

Where

$$
N_{2} = p_{01}k_{1} + p_{01}p_{1,13}^{4}k_{13} + p_{02}p_{2,13}^{7}k_{13} + p_{03}p_{3,13}^{10}k_{13}
$$

\n
$$
N_{3} = p_{02}k_{2} + p_{01}p_{1,14}^{5}k_{14} + p_{02}p_{2,14}^{8}k_{14} + p_{03}p_{3,14}^{11}k_{14}
$$

\n
$$
N_{4} = p_{03}k_{3} + p_{01}p_{1,15}^{6}k_{15} + p_{02}p_{2,15}^{9}k_{15} + p_{03}p_{3,15}^{12}k_{15}
$$

\n
$$
N_{5} = p_{01} + p_{01}(p_{1,13}^{4} + p_{1,14}^{5} + p_{1,15}^{5}) + p_{01}p_{1,13}^{4}p_{13,0} + p_{02}p_{2,13}^{7}p_{13,0} + p_{03}p_{3,13}^{10}p_{13,0}
$$

\n
$$
N_{6} = p_{02} + p_{02}(p_{2,13}^{7} + p_{2,14}^{8} + p_{2,15}^{9}) + p_{02}p_{1,14}^{5}p_{14,0} + p_{02}p_{2,14}^{8}p_{14,0} + p_{03}p_{3,14}^{11}p_{14,0}
$$

\n
$$
N_{7} = p_{03} + p_{03}(p_{3,13}^{10} + p_{3,14}^{11} + p_{3,15}^{12}) + p_{01}p_{1,15}^{6}p_{15,0} + p_{02}p_{2,15}^{9}p_{15,0} + p_{03}p_{3,15}^{12}p_{15,0}
$$

\n
$$
N_{8} = p_{01} + p_{02} + p_{03}
$$

\n
$$
k_{13} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}_{21}(t)dt, k_{14} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}_{22}(t)dt, k_{15} = \int_{0}
$$

For graphical representation ,let us suppose that $g_{11}(t) = \alpha_{11}e^{-\alpha_{11}t}$, $g_{12}(t) = \alpha_{12}e^{-\alpha_{12}t}$, $g_{13}(t) = \alpha_{13}e^{-\alpha_{13}t}$ using the above particular case, the following values are estimated as $\alpha_{11} = 0.006896, \alpha_{12} = 0.000586, \alpha_{13} = 0.04166, \alpha_{21} = 0.0000983, \alpha_{22} = 0.0001347, \alpha_{23} = 0.00015873, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13} = 0.00003868$ $\lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}, \lambda_{23} = 0.0007352$, $C_0 = 100$, $C_1 = 3000$, $C_2 = 500$, $C_3 = 550$, $C_4 = 800$, $C_5 = 27700$, $C_6 = 7600$, $C_7 = 7975$

CONCLUSION

Mean time to unit/compressor MTSF =13937.512 hrs. Availability of the unit/compressor $(A_0) = 1.0000000$ Busy period analysis for service time only $(B_0)=.158270$ Busy period analysis for repair time only(B_1)=0.153616 Busy period analysis for replacement time only(B_2)=0.096448 Expected number of services(S_E)=0.0000624833 Expected number of repairs (R_E) =0.0000645 Expected number of replacements(R)=0.00006321

PROFIT ANALYSIS

The expected total profit incurred to the system in steady state is given by $P = C_0A_0-C_1B_0-C_2B_1-C_3B_2-C_4V_0-C_5S_E-C_6R_E-C_7R$ Where C_0 = Revenue per unit up time C_1 =Cost per unit time for which repairman is busy for service C_2 = Cost per unit time for which repairman is busy for repair $C₃=$ Cost per unit time for which repairman is busy for replacement C4=Cost per visit of Repairman C_5 =Cost per service C_6 =Cost per Repair C_7 = Cost per Replacement *Graph between Profit vs Revenue per unit up time(C0) for different values of cost per unit for which repairman is busy for service(C1)*

[Sharma**,* **5(3): March, 2016] ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785**

It is concluded from graph that profit increases with increase in values of revenue per unit up time (C_0) .It can also be noticed that if $C_1=3000$, then P>or=or<0 according as C_0 >or =or<607.5. So for $C_1=3000$, revenue per unit up time should be fixed greater than 607.5. Similarly for C_1 =3500 and 4000, the revenue per unit up time should be greater than 686.6 and 765.8 respectively.

Graph between Profit vs Revenue per unit time(C0) for different values of cost per unit for which repairman is busy for repair (C_2)

It is concluded from graph that profit increases with increase in values of revenue per unit up time (C_0) . It can also be noticed that if C_2 =500, then P>or=or<0 according as C_0 >or =or<607.5. So for C_2 =500, revenue per unit up time should be fixed greater than 607.5.Similarly for C_2 =1500 and 2500, the revenue per unit up time should be greater than 761.1 and 914.7 respectively.

Graph between Profit vs Revenue per unit time (C_0) for different values of cost per unit for which repairman is busy for replacement (C_3)

[Sharma**,* **5(3): March, 2016] ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785**

It is concluded from graph that profit increases with increase in values of revenue per unit up time (C_0) . It can also be noticed that if C₃=550, then P>or=or<0 according as C_0 >or =or<607.5. So for C₃=550, revenue per unit up time should be fixed greater than 607.5. Similarly for $C_3=1550$ and 2550, the revenue per unit up time should be greater than 703.9 and 800.4 respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.Goyal , D.V Singh and Gulshan Taneja ,"Analysis of a 2-unit cold standby system working in a sugar mill with operating and rest periods". Caledon. J. Eng., 5pp 1-5. 2009
- [2] A. Malik " Comparison of two reliability models for two unit cold standby systems with three accidental effects" ,International journal of mathematical trends and technology ,vol 8,N0. 2,April 2014
- [3] G.Taneja, "Reliability and profit analysis of a system with PLC used as hot standby". Proc.INCRESE Reliability Engineering Centre, IIT, Kharagpur India, pp:455-464.,2005(Conferenceproceedings)
- [4] R.K . Tuteja , U. Vashistha and G. Taneja ," Cost benefit of a system where operation and some times repair of main unit depends on sub unit," Pure and Applied Mathematika science., LIIIpp 41-61.,2001
- [5] R.Narang and U. Sharma "Analysis of two unit standby oil delivering system with two types of repair facility and priority is given to partially failed unit with provision of switching over to another system" International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology Vol3, No.06,pp117-123,June 2014
- [6] U.Sharma and J.kaur "Availability Analysis of a Standby System with Three Types of Failure Categories" IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) Vol 10, Issue 2 Ver. IV PP 23-28,Mar-Apr. 2014
- [7] U.Sharma and G. Sharma " Comparative study of two standby innovative systems where operation of all standby units is necessary on the failure of main unit", International Journal of Engineering Sciences $\&$ Research Technology vol 5,issue2 Feb 2016